

WEST VIRGINIA LEGISLATURE

2020 REGULAR SESSION

ENROLLED

House Bill 3039

OFFICE WEST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE

2020 MAR 25 P 4: 21

FILED

BY DELEGATES FOSTER, PHILLIPS, D. JEFFRIES, MANDT,

WILSON AND WILLIAMS

[Passed March 7, 2020; in effect ninety days from
passage.]

HB3039

WEST VIRGINIA LEGISLATURE

2020 REGULAR SESSION

ENROLLED

House Bill 3039

OFFICE WEST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE

2020 MAR 25 P 4: 21

FILED

BY DELEGATES FOSTER, PHILLIPS, D. JEFFRIES, MANDT,

WILSON AND WILLIAMS

[Passed March 7, 2020; in effect ninety days from
passage.]

1 AN ACT to amend and reenact §44-10-4 of the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended; and to
2 amend and reenact §48-9-206 and §48-9-402 of said code, all relating to a court's
3 consideration of the right of a minor to nominate his or her guardian and to a court's
4 consideration of the expression of a preference by a child in certain child custody matters;
5 and giving the court discretion to consider the preferences of a child under the age of
6 fourteen years who is sufficiently matured that he or she can intelligently express a
7 voluntary preference.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of West Virginia:

CHAPTER 44. ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES AND TRUSTS.

ARTICLE 10. GUARDIANS AND WARDS GENERALLY.

§44-10-4. Right of minor to nominate guardian.

1 (a) If the minor is above the age of fourteen years, he or she may in the presence of the
2 circuit or family court, or in writing acknowledged before any officer authorized to take the
3 acknowledgment of a deed, nominate his or her own guardian, who, if approved by the court,
4 shall be appointed accordingly.

5 (b) If the minor is below the age of fourteen years and, if the court determines it is in the
6 best interests of the minor, the court may consider the firm and reasonable preferences of a minor
7 who, in the discretion of the court, is sufficiently matured that he or she can intelligently express
8 a preference. He or she may in the presence of the circuit or family court, or in writing
9 acknowledged before any officer authorized to take the acknowledgment of a deed, nominate his
10 or her own guardian, who, if approved by the court, after giving that preference the weight
11 warranted by the circumstances, shall be appointed accordingly.

12 (c) If the guardian nominated by the minor is not appointed by the court, or if the minor
13 resides outside the state, or if, after being summoned, the minor neglects to nominate a suitable
14 person, the court may appoint the guardian in the same manner as if the minor were under the
15 age of fourteen years.

CHAPTER 48. DOMESTIC RELATIONS.

ARTICLE 9. ALLOCATION OF CUSTODIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND DECISION- MAKING RESPONSIBILITY OF CHILDREN.

§48-9-206. Allocation of custodial responsibility.

1 (a) Unless otherwise resolved by agreement of the parents under §48-9-201 of this code
2 or unless harmful to the child, the court shall allocate custodial responsibility so that, except to
3 the extent required under §48-9-209 of this code, the custodial time the child spends with each
4 parent may be expected to achieve any of the following objectives:

5 (1) To permit the child to have a meaningful relationship with each parent who has
6 performed a reasonable share of parenting functions;

7 (2) To accommodate, if the court determines it is in the best interests of the child, the firm
8 and reasonable preferences of a child who is 14 years of age or older; and to accommodate, if
9 the court determines it is in the best interests of the child, the firm and reasonable preferences of
10 a child under 14 years of age, but sufficiently matured that he or she can intelligently express a
11 voluntary preference for one parent;

12 (3) To keep siblings together when the court finds that doing so is necessary to their
13 welfare;

14 (4) To protect the child's welfare when, under an otherwise appropriate allocation, the child
15 would be harmed because of a gross disparity in the quality of the emotional attachments between
16 each parent and the child, or in each parent's demonstrated ability or availability to meet a child's
17 needs;

18 (5) To take into account any prior agreement of the parents that, under the circumstances
19 as a whole, including the reasonable expectations of the parents in the interest of the child, would
20 be appropriate to consider;

(6) To avoid an allocation of custodial responsibility that would be extremely impractical or that would interfere substantially with the child's need for stability in light of economic, physical, or other circumstances, including the distance between the parents' residences, the cost and difficulty of transporting the child, the parents' and child's daily schedules, and the ability of the parents to cooperate in the arrangement;

(7) To apply the principles set forth in §48-9-403(d) of this code if one parent relocates or proposes to relocate at a distance that will impair the ability of a parent to exercise the amount of custodial responsibility that would otherwise be ordered under this section;

(8) To consider the stage of a child's development; and

(9) To consider which parent will encourage and accept a positive relationship between the child and the other parent, including which parent is more likely to keep the other parent involved in the child's life and activities.

(b) The court may consider the allocation of custodial responsibility arising from temporary agreements made by the parties after separation if the court finds, by a preponderance of the evidence, that such agreements were consensual. The court shall afford those temporary consensual agreements the weight the court believes the agreements are entitled to receive, based upon the evidence. The court may not consider the temporary allocation of custodial responsibility imposed by a court order on the parties.

(c) If the court is unable to allocate custodial responsibility under §48-9-206(a) of this code because the allocation under §48-9-206(a) of this code would be harmful to the child, or because there is no history of past performance of caretaking functions, as in the case of a newborn, or because the history does not establish a pattern of caretaking sufficiently dispositive of the issues of the case, the court shall allocate custodial responsibility based on the child's best interest, taking into account the factors in considerations that are set forth in this section and in §48-9-209 and §48-9-403(d) of this code and preserving to the extent possible this section's priority on the share of past caretaking functions each parent performed.

47 (d) In determining how to schedule the custodial time allocated to each parent, the court
48 shall take account of the economic, physical, and other practical circumstances such as those
49 listed in §48-9-206(a)(6) of this code.

§48-9-402. Modification without showing of changed circumstances.

1 (a) The court shall modify a parenting plan in accordance with a parenting agreement,
2 unless it finds that the agreement is not knowing and voluntary or that it would be harmful to the
3 child.

4 (b) The court may modify any provisions of the parenting plan without the showing of
5 change circumstances required by §48-9-401(a) if the modification is in the child's best interests,
6 and the modification:

7 (1) Reflects the de facto arrangements under which the child has been receiving care from
8 the petitioner, without objection, in substantial deviation from the parenting plan, for the preceding
9 six months before the petition for modification is filed, provided the arrangement is not the result
10 of a parent's acquiescence resulting from the other parent's domestic abuse;


11 (2) Constitutes a minor modification in the plan; or

12 (3) Is necessary to accommodate the reasonable and firm preferences of a child who, has
13 attained the age of fourteen; or

14 (4) Is necessary to accommodate the reasonable and firm preferences of a child who, is
15 under the age of fourteen and, in the discretion of the court, is sufficiently matured that he or she
16 can intelligently express a voluntary preference.

17 (c) Evidence of repeated filings of fraudulent reports of domestic violence or child abuse
18 is admissible in a domestic relations action between the involved parties when the allocation of
19 custodial responsibilities is in issue, and the fraudulent accusations may be a factor considered
20 by the court in making the allocation of custodial responsibilities.

The Joint Committee on Enrolled Bills hereby certifies that the foregoing bill is correctly enrolled.


Chairman, House Committee



Chairman, Senate Committee

FILED
2020 MAR 25 P 4: 21
OFFICE, EST VIRGINIA
SECRETARY OF STATE


Originating in the House.

In effect ninety days from passage.


Clerk of the House of Delegates


Clerk of the Senate


Speaker of the House of Delegates


President of the Senate

The within is approved this the 25th
day of March, 2020.


Governor

PRESENTED TO THE GOVERNOR

MAR 19 2020

Time 11:27am